APPEAL NO. 041376 FILED AUGUST 2, 2004

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing (CCH) was held on May 6, 2004. The hearing officer resolved the disputed issues by deciding that the compensable injury of _______, extends to the respondent's (claimant) diagnosis of lumbar degenerative disc disease, herniated disc, annular tears and/or radiculopathy, and that the appellant (carrier) did not waive the right to contest compensability of the claimed injuries by not contesting within 60 days. The carrier appealed, disputing the extent-of-injury determination and asserts the hearing officer erred in excluding Carrier's Exhibit D. The claimant responded, urging affirmance and contends the hearing officer committed no error in regard to the carrier's evidentiary objection. The hearing officer's determination regarding waiver has not been appealed and has become final. Section 410.169.

DECISION

Affirmed.

We first address the carrier's evidentiary objection. The carrier asserts that the hearing officer erred in failing to admit a surveillance compact disc, which it offered into evidence. Parties must exchange documentary evidence with each other not later than 15 days after the benefit review conference and thereafter, as it becomes available. Tex. W.C. Comm'n, 28 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 142.13(c) (Rule 142.13(c)). The carrier admitted that the surveillance compact disc in question was not timely exchanged but argued it should be admitted because the surveillance was conducted only days prior to the CCH. The hearing officer determined that the surveillance compact disc was not timely exchanged, and that no good cause existed for the untimely exchange. To obtain a reversal on the basis of admission or exclusion of evidence, it must be shown that the ruling admitting or excluding the evidence was error and that the error was reasonably calculated to cause and probably did cause the rendition of an improper judgment. Hernandez v. Hernandez, 611 S.W.2d 732 (Tex. Civ. App.-San Antonio 1981, no writ). It has also been stated that reversible error is not ordinarily shown in connection with rulings on guestions of evidence unless the whole case turns on the particular evidence admitted or excluded. Atlantic Mutual Insurance Company v. Middleman, 661 S.W.2d 182 (Tex. App.-San Antonio 1983, writ ref'd n.r.e.). We conclude that the hearing officer properly excluded the complained-of surveillance compact disc on the grounds of no timely exchange and no good cause shown.

Next we address the extent-of-injury issue. Extent of injury is a question of fact. Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Appeal No. 93613, decided August 24, 1993. Section 410.165(a) provides that the hearing officer, as finder of fact, is the sole judge of the relevance and materiality of the evidence as well as of the weight and credibility that is to be given to the evidence. It was for the hearing officer, as trier of

fact, to resolve the inconsistencies and conflicts in the evidence. <u>Garza v. Commercial Insurance Company of Newark, New Jersey,</u> 508 S.W.2d 701 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ). This is equally true regarding medical evidence. <u>Texas Employers Insurance Association v. Campos,</u> 666 S.W.2d 286 (Tex. App.-Houston [14th Dist.] 1984, no writ). The trier of fact may believe all, part, or none of the testimony of any witness. <u>Taylor v. Lewis,</u> 553 S.W.2d 153 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1977, writ ref'd n.r.e.); <u>Aetna Insurance Company v. English,</u> 204 S.W.2d 850 (Tex. Civ. App.-Fort Worth 1947, no writ). When reviewing a hearing officer's decision for factual sufficiency of the evidence we should reverse such decision only if it is so contrary to the overwhelming weight of the evidence as to be clearly wrong and unjust. <u>Cain v. Bain,</u> 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986); <u>Pool v. Ford Motor Company,</u> 715 S.W.2d 629, 635 (Tex. 1986). Applying the standard of review outlined above, we find no reversible error.

We affirm the decision and order of the hearing officer.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **AMERICAN HOME ASSURANCE COMPANY** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 800 BRAZOS, SUITE 750, COMMODORE 1 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701.

CONCUR:	Margaret L. Turner Appeals Judge
Daniel R. Barry Appeals Judge	
Edward Vilano Appeals Judge	